



Experimental Research



Bactericidal effect of hyperbaric oxygen therapy in burn injuries

Mendy Hatibie Oley^{a,b,c,*}, Maximillian Christian Oley^{c,d,e}, Louise A. J. Waworuntu Wewengkang^f, Billy Johnson Kepel^g, Fima Lanra Fredrik G. Langi^h, Taat Setiadi^{i,j}, Deanette Michelle R. Aling^c, Deborah Florencia Gunawan^k, Marcella Tirsa Tulong^c, Muhammad Faruk^l

^a Plastic Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery Division, Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Sam Ratulangi University, Manado, Indonesia

^b Plastic Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery Division, Department of Surgery, R. D. Kandou Hospital, Manado, Indonesia

^c Hyperbaric Centre Siloam Hospital, Manado, Indonesia

^d Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Sam Ratulangi University, Manado, Indonesia

^e Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Surgery, R. D. Kandou Hospital, Manado, Indonesia

^f Clinical Microbiologist Program, Faculty of Medicine, Sam Ratulangi University, Manado, Indonesia

^g Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Sam Ratulangi University, Manado, Indonesia

^h Department Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Public Health Faculty, Sam Ratulangi University, Manado, Indonesia

ⁱ Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Sam Ratulangi University, Manado, Indonesia

^j Department of Surgery, R. D. Kandou Hospital, Manado, Indonesia

^k Faculty of Medicine, Sam Ratulangi University, Manado, Indonesia

^l Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Hasanuddin University, Makassar, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Hyperbaric oxygen therapy
Infection
Gram-negative bacteria
Burn injury
Bacterial infection

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Burn injuries are frequently encountered in emergency cases and often become the port of entry for pathogens. More than 450,000 burn injuries occur annually causing nearly 3,400 deaths in the United States. The prevalence of burn injury in Indonesia is 0.7% in 2013. More than half of these According to several studies on the use of patients were treated for bacterial infections, some of which were resistant to certain antibiotics. Using hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBOT) to treat burns has several positive effects including managing bacterial infections, as well as accelerating the wound healing process. Therefore, this study aims to prove the effectiveness of HBOT in inhibiting bacterial growth.

Methods: This is an experimental research study in rabbits using a post-test control group design. 38 rabbits were given second-degree burns on the shoulder region with a metal iron plate that has been previously heated for 3 min. Bacterial cultures were taken on days 5 and 10 after exposure to the burns. The samples were divided into two groups, HBOT and control. Statistical analyses were performed using the Mann-Whitney U method.

Results: Gram-negative bacteria were the most frequently found pathogen in both groups. *Citrobacter freundii* was the most common Gram-negative bacteria (34%) found in the culture results of both groups.

In contrast to the control group, there was no bacterial growth found in the HBOT group's culture results, (0%) vs (58%). A significant reduction of bacterial growth was observed in the HBOT group (69%) compared with the control group (5%). Bacterial levels stagnated in 6 rabbits (31%) in the HBOT group and 7 rabbits (37%) in the control group. Overall, there was significantly less bacterial growth in the HBOT treatment group compared with the control group ($p < 0.001$).

Conclusion: HBOT administration can significantly reduce bacterial growth in burn injuries.

* Corresponding author. Reconstructive & Aesthetic Plastic Surgery Division, Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, University Sam Ratulangi, Jalan Raya Tanawangko No.56, Malalayang Satu Barat, Malalayang, Manado City, North Sulawesi, 95162, Indonesia.

E-mail addresses: mendy.hatibie@unsrat.ac.id (M.H. Oley), max_oley@unsrat.ac.id (M.C. Oley), wisye.wawo@gmail.com (L.A.J.W. Wewengkang), billy.kepel@unsrat.ac.id (B.J. Kepel), flangi2@unsrat.ac.id (F.L.F.G. Langi), taatse@gmail.com (T. Setiadi), aling.michelle@gmail.com (D.M.R. Aling), deborahgunawan011@student.unsrat.ac.id (D.F. Gunawan), tirsatulong@yahoo.com (M.T. Tulong), farosex8283@gmail.com (M. Faruk).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amsu.2022.103314>

Received 11 December 2021; Received in revised form 14 January 2022; Accepted 23 January 2022

Available online 31 January 2022

2049-0801/© 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license

(<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).




Fig. 1. Distribution of Gram-negative and -positive counts in both treatments.

1. Introduction

Burn injuries are frequently encountered in many emergency cases [1]. In the United States, more than 450,000 burn injuries occur annually with nearly 3,400 deaths [2]. In a developing country such as Indonesia, the prevalence of burn injury is 0.7% [3]. In Poland, cases of burn injuries in March 2020 were three times higher than in February 2020. From 2016 to 2019 hospitalization rates caused by burn had never exceeded 5% of incoming patients, but in 2020 the rate increased to 15.28% cases [4].

A study in South Africa showed that more than half (52.5%) of burn patients were treated for infections. These infections derive from Gram-negative bacteria such as *A. baumannii*, *P. aeruginosa*, and *K. pneumoniae*, which are resistant to certain types of antibiotics [5]. Two surveillance studies in North America found that 73% and 88% cases were resistant to Oxacillin, 55% and 66% resistant to levofloxacin, and 70%–73% resistant to erythromycin. Similar results were also obtained in a study conducted in the United Kingdom [6]. Some studies reveal that the release of reactive oxygen species (ROS) is induced by HBOT and equally being destructive to pathogen DNA and other biology molecules [7].

According to several studies on the use of hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBOT) for burn injuries, it has many benefits ranging from treating bacterial infection to accelerating the wound healing process. Therefore, this study aims to establish the effectiveness of HBOT in inhibiting bacterial cell growth.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sample examination

This was an experimental research study using rabbits with a post-test control group design comprised of a control group and an experimental group. This study was approved by the Ethics Commission of Kandou Hospital Manado (No.: 067/EC-UPKT/III/2016). The work was carried out in line with the ARRIVE Guidelines for Reporting Animal Research [8].

2.2. Population and sample

Total thirty-eight rabbits (Federer formula) were quarantined in individual cages at the research laboratory of the Faculty of Medicine, Sam Ratulangi University, Manado. These experimental animals were fed with carrots, kale, sweet potatoes, cucumbers, and sweet corns without additional feeding for approximately 1 week for the adaptation period. Feeding took place daily, with adequate water provided to drink. The cage was of standard size, cleaned regularly, and kept at $24 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$.

2.3. Second-degree burn model

The second-degree burn model was prepared as described by Guo et al. [9] with modifications. The rabbits were shaved on their shoulder, then diazepam at 1 mg/kg body weight (BW) was administered intramuscularly as premedication for the rabbits. Intramuscular ketamine hydrochloride 40 mg of BW/day was injected as an anesthetic.

The affected area was disinfected with povidone-iodine 1%. After that, a 2×1 cm second-degree burn was generated using a metal iron plate that had been previously heated in hot water at 100°C for 3 min on the shoulder of each rabbit for 6 s. This method obtained deep dermal burns, which were confirmed by the results of the histopathological examination [10]. Immediately after the procedure, analgesia with paracetamol 200 mg/kg and amoxicillin 50 mg/kg antibiotics were given orally.

2.4. Bacterial cultures

Samples were taken on days 5 and 10 after the burn using a swabbing procedure on the wounded area by rubbing the cotton bud in a circular motion so that the entire surface of the cotton bud is in contact with the wound surface. The bacterial culture was carried out on a Petri dish filled with layers of agar with blood agar plates (BAPs) as the culture media since these are generally suitable for both Gram-positive and -negative bacterial cultures [11]. The resulting colonies were isolated using tweezers for Gram-positive and -negative coloring. In addition to the difference in color (Gram-negative colored pink and Gram-positive purple), we can also evaluate or name the bacteria based on the shape seen under the microscope. To determine the bacterial species (more specific), we used the agglutination examination method or PCR through gene analysis of 16SrRNA, without the Gram-coloring method anymore.

The samples were then divided into two groups, HBOT and control. The treatment group received HBOT (directly after the swab) for 6 consecutive days. In both groups, bacterial cultures were obtained by wound swab and examined on the 10th day. Finally, data were analyzed using SPSS.

2.5. Statistical analysis

The sample size was determined using the Kirk formula [12]. The data were subjected to statistical analysis using SPSS version 25 (IBM Corp.). From the collected data, the type and numbers of bacteria were compared between the two groups using the following tests: the difference was tested using non-parametrical Mann-Whitney test and Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to indicate the normality of the data.




Fig. 2. Bacterial distribution.




Fig. 3. Comparison of the bacterial development from day 5 to day 10 in the HBOT and control groups.

3. Results

38 rabbits were equally distributed between both the treatment and control groups. No rabbits were excluded or dropped out because all rabbits managed to survive until the 10th day and did not suffer from other injuries.

After 10 days, Gram-negative bacteria outnumbered their Gram-positive counterparts in both groups (Fig. 1).

Citrobacter freundii (34%), *Citrobacter difersus* (32%), *Proteus vulgaris* (13%), *Citrobacter mirabilis* (10.5%), and Gram-positive bacteria - *Staphylococcus aureus* (10.5%). No significant differences in the types of bacteria were found between the two groups (Fig. 2).

The results from days 5–10 indicate that the increasing level of bacteria in HBOT was lower than the control group, otherwise, the decreasing levels of bacteria in HBOT groups are higher than the control group (Fig. 3).

Normality data testing of the number of bacteria found on days 5 and 10 were analyzed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The test result shows that the number of bacteria in both treatments did not spread




Fig. 4. Descriptive statistical value for the number of bacteria on days 5 and 10.

Table 1
Mann-Whitney *U* test results on day 10.

Treatment	Average	Mann-Whitney <i>U</i> Test
HBOT	11.57	P < 0.001
Control	27.47	

normally ($p < 0.05$). Due to the abnormality of data dissemination, the Mann-Whitney-*U* test was used as a further testing method. There was no meaningful difference in the bacterial count between both groups on day 5 ($p = 0.408$) (Fig. 4). However, on day 10, the bacterial counts in the HBOT group plummeted, leaving a statistically significant difference between the two groups ($p < 0.001$) (Table 1).

4. Discussion

The main objectives of burn management are to reduce the occurrence of edema, maintain the viability of tissues in the static zone, protect microvascular circulation and aid the immune system [13,14]. When burns heal, wound regeneration cannot occur without a balance between its management, the patient, the severity and location of the wound itself. Without such a balance, extensive scarring could be one of the consequences [15].

This study showed that aerobic bacteria are the most common type found on burn wounds. For example, *citrobacter* are pathogenic bacteria that can cause intraabdominal and respiratory tract infections [16]. Similarly, *proteus* and *staphylococcus* infect the digestive and respiratory tract. *Staphylococcus* are Gram-positive bacteria and have become one of the common causes of purulent skin and soft tissues infections [17,18].

Excessive amounts of infecting bacteria could be indicative of cases of antibiotics resistance [19]. Infections that occur in severe burns are characterized by persistent hypermetabolic responses such as catabolism occurring throughout the body, degradation of muscles and proteins, growth delays, insulin resistance, and multiorgan dysfunction [20]. A study on the relationship between HBOT and burns confirmed the positive interactions between HBOT, the mechanism, and the healing of burn injury [21].

HBOT enables increasing the partial pressure of oxygen of the inhalation to 100% oxygen in a pressurized chamber [22,23]. The oxygen then dissolves in the blood serum under Henry's law, where the amount of the ideal gas dissolved in a solution becomes proportional to its partial pressure. During treatment, arterial oxygen tension reaches 2000 mmHg, and oxygen levels increase from 200 to 400 mmHg in tissues [24,25]. During tissue repair and wound healing, the demand for oxygen increases to prevent hypoxia-induced tissue death [26,27].




Fig. 5. Suggested pathway: HBOT's bactericidal role in burn injuries and its relationship with ROS [29–33].

Chronic hypoxia that occurs in wounds is associated with delayed or absent wound healing. This suggests that the increased oxygen supply in hypoxic tissues provides many advantages, particularly in wound healing [28].

In a study by Memar et al., the patients with burn injuries who were treated with HBOT had lower fluid requirements compared with controls [29]. Administration of HBOT increases oxygenation of, which affects the increase in aerobic metabolism thus stimulating ROS activation (Fig. 5) [29]. ROS activation triggers the process of myofibroblast formation, which affects the synthesis of collagen central to the repair of injured tissues [30]. In addition, myofibroblast ROS will also stimulate a pro-inflammatory response in tissue repair. Of note, injuries due to burns in addition to stimulating the pro-inflammatory response, also provide a hypoxia effect on the network so that the activation of the ROS signal will be strongly supported by the activation of ROS caused by the administration of HBOT [31]. Among HBOT, the most crucial work is the bactericidal effect on the bacteria directly. ROS penetrate through the cell walls of the bacteria and cause damage to the bacterial DNA, thus limiting the multiplication of the bacteria itself [29,32,33].

Regarding the present study, the number of aerobic bacteria decreased after the administration of HBOT. HBOT increases oxygen perfusion to the wound area, in addition to its bactericidal function. Moreover, HBOT also enhances the function of PMN cells since oxygen is necessary for phagocytosis and bacterial destruction. Another possible mechanism underlying HBOT's efficacy is antimicrobial by triggering the formation of ROS. ROS are reactive radicals that includes, super-oxidation anions (O_2^-), peroxides (O_2-2), hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), hydroxyl radicals ($OH^\bullet$), and hydroxyl ions (OH^-) [30].

The present study highlights that HBOT can influence the extent of a bacterial infection based on reduced bacterial counts after receiving HBOT. Therefore, HBOT represents one of the factors that plays a role in reducing infection after burns injury because if the wound contains more bacteria, the risk of infection is greater.

5. Conclusions

HBOT can contribute to reducing infection in burns because when the wound is contaminated with a large number of bacteria, the risk of infection is greater.

Provenance and peer review

Not commissioned, externally peer reviewed.

Ethical approval

All procedure for Animal experiment has been approved by Ethics Commission of Kandou Hospital Manado Number: 067/EC-UPKT/III/2016.

sources of funding

No funding or sponsorship.

Author contribution

All authors made a significant contribution to the work reported, whether that is in the conception, study design, execution, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation, or in all these areas; took part in drafting, revising or critically reviewing the article; gave final approval of the version to be published; have agreed on the journal to which the article has been submitted; and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Registration of research studies

None.

Guarantor

Mendy Hatibie Oley.

Consent

This manuscript does not involve human participants, human data, or human tissue.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

Acknowledgment

We do acknowledge Leo Sagay, MD for his help in providing us the statistical and linguistic assistance for this research.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amsu.2022.103314>.

References

- [1] K.A. Wittels, Thermal Burns, Ninth Edit, Elsevier Inc., 2012, <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-35479-0.00056-8>.
- [2] T. Phillips, M. Lee, The burned patient, in: Anesth. Secrets, sixth ed., Elsevier Inc., 2021, pp. 376–380, <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-64015-2.00058-5>.
- [3] A.M. Kawalec, The changes in the number of patients admissions due to burns in Paediatric Trauma Centre in Wroclaw (Poland) in March 2020, Burns 46 (2020) 1713–1714, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.burns.2020.05.007>.
- [4] I.A. Bahemia, A. Muganza, R. Moore, F. Sahid, C.N. Menezes, Microbiology and antibiotic resistance in severe burns patients: a 5 year review in an adult burns unit, Burns 41 (2015) 1536–1542, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.burns.2015.05.007>.
- [5] P. Toltzis, Staphylococcus epidermidis and other coagulase-negative staphylococci, in: Princ. Pract. Pediatr. Infect. Dis., Elsevier, 2018, pp. 706–712, <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-40181-4.00116-X>, e4.
- [6] H. Van Acker, T. Coenye, The role of reactive oxygen species in antibiotic-mediated killing of bacteria, Trends Microbiol. 25 (2017) 456–466, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2016.12.008>.
- [7] M.Y. Memar, R. Ghotaslou, M. Samiei, K. Adibkia, Antimicrobial use of reactive oxygen therapy: current insights, Infect. Drug Resist. 11 (2018) 567–576, <https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S142397>.
- [8] C. Kilkenny, W.J. Browne, I.C. Cuthill, M. Emerson, D.G. Altman, Improving bioscience research reporting: the ARRIVE guidelines for reporting animal research, PLoS Biol. 8 (2010), e1000412, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000412>.
- [9] H.-F. Guo, R.M. Ali, R.A. Hamid, A.A. Zaini, H. Khaza'ai, A new model for studying deep partial-thickness burns in rats, Int. J. Burns Trauma. 7 (2017) 107–114.
- [10] D. dos, S. Tavares Pereira, M.H.M. Lima-Ribeiro, N.T. de Pontes-Filho, A.M. dos, A. Carneiro-Leão, M.T. dos, S. Correia, Development of animal model for studying deep second-degree thermal burns, 2012, J. Biomed. Biotechnol. (2012) 460841, <https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/460841>.
- [11] P. Prihantono, S. Ardi Syamsu, N. Smaradhandia, M. Ahmad, N.A. Siagian, K. Lubis, A.S. Umrah, Application of Scaevola taccada (Gaertn.) roxb. Reduce pro-inflammatory cytokines interleukin-1 β in sprague dawley mice suffering from mastitis, open access maced, J. Med. Sci. 8 (2020) 423–427, <https://doi.org/10.3889/oamjms.2020.4363>.
- [12] R.E. Kirk, Experimental designs: an overview, in: R.E. Kirk (Ed.), Exp. Des. Proced. Behav. Sci., fourth ed., SAGE Publications, Inc., 2455 Teller Road, Thousand Oaks California 91320 United States, 2013, pp. 30–76, <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781483384733.n2>.
- [13] X.L. Strudwick, A.J. Cowin, The role of the inflammatory response in burn injury, in: Hot Top. Burn Inj., InTech, 2018, <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.71330>.
- [14] C.B. Nielson, N.C. Duethman, J.M. Howard, M. Moncure, J.G. Wood, Burns: pathophysiology of systemic complications and current management, J. Burn Care Res. 38 (2017), <https://doi.org/10.1097/BCR.0000000000000355> e469–e481.
- [15] S.A. Eming, P. Martin, M. Tomic-Canic, Wound repair and regeneration: mechanisms, signaling, and translation, Sci. Transl. Med. 6 (2014), <https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3009337>, 265sr6–265sr6.
- [16] T.J. Hatlen, L.G. Miller, Staphylococcal skin and soft tissue infections, Infect. Dis. Clin. 35 (2021) 81–105, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idc.2020.10.003>.
- [17] S.Y.C. Tong, J.S. Davis, E. Eichenberger, T.L. Holland, V.G. Fowler, Staphylococcus aureus infections: epidemiology, pathophysiology, clinical manifestations, and management, Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 28 (2015) 603–661, <https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00134-14>.
- [18] A.S. Lee, H. de Lencastre, J. Garau, J. Kluytmans, S. Malhotra-Kumar, A. Peschel, S. Harbarth, Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, Nat. Rev. Dis. Prim. 4 (2018) 18033, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrdp.2018.33>.
- [19] D.M. Blyth, H.C. Yun, D.R. Tribble, C.K. Murray, Lessons of war, J. Trauma Acute Care Surg. 79 (2015) S227–S235, <https://doi.org/10.1097/TA.0000000000000768>.
- [20] C. Smolle, J. Lindenmann, L. Kamolz, F.-M.M. Smolle-Juettner, The history and development of hyperbaric oxygenation (HBO) in thermal burn injury, Medicina (B. Aires) 57 (2021) 49, <https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina57010049>.
- [21] Clinical Management Extra Gretl B. Lam, Rocky C. Fontaine, F.A.M. Loss, E.S. M. Chiu, Hyperb. Oxyen Ther. Explor. Clin. Evid, vol. 25, 2012, pp. 38–44.
- [22] P. Eggleton, A. Bishop, G. Smerdon, Safety and efficacy of hyperbaric oxygen therapy in chronic wound management: current evidence, Chron. Wound Care Manag. Res. 2 (2015) 81–93, <https://doi.org/10.2147/CWCMR.S60319>.
- [23] M.H. Oley, M.C. Oley, F.L.F.G. Langi, Y.A. Langi, B.J. Keppel, A.N. Tangkilisan, H. F. Lampus, E.F. Sipayung, D.M.R. Aling, M. Faruk, Predicting hyperbaric oxygen therapy success using the decision tree approach, Ann. Med. Surg. 69 (2021) 102725, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amsu.2021.102725>.
- [24] M. Heyboer, D. Sharma, W. Santiago, N. McCulloch, Hyperbaric oxygen therapy: side effects defined and quantified, Adv. Wound Care 6 (2017) 210–224, <https://doi.org/10.1089/wound.2016.0718>.
- [25] A. Hadanny, S. Efrati, The hyperoxic-hypoxic paradox, Biomolecules 10 (2020), <https://doi.org/10.3390/biom10060958>.
- [26] T.D. Nauta, V.W.M. van Hinsbergh, P. Koolwijk, Hypoxic signaling during tissue repair and regenerative medicine, Int. J. Mol. Sci. 15 (2014) 19791–19815, <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms151119791>.
- [27] W. Hong, M. Hu, M. Esquivel, G. Liang, R. Rennert, A. McArdle, K. Paik, D. Duschler, G. Gurtner, H. Lorenz, M. Longaker, The role of hypoxia-inducible factor in wound healing, Adv. Wound Care 3 (2014) 390–399, <https://doi.org/10.1089/wound.2013.0520>.
- [28] P.Ø. Jensen, S.A. Møller, C.J. Lerche, C. Moser, T. Bjarnsholt, O. Ciofu, D. Faurholt-Jepsen, N. Høiby, M. Kolpen, Improving antibiotic treatment of bacterial biofilm by hyperbaric oxygen therapy: not just hot air, Biofilms 1 (2019) 100008, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biofilm.2019.100008>.
- [29] T. Akamatsu, Y. Arai, I. Kosugi, H. Kawasaki, S. Meguro, M. Sakao, K. Shibata, T. Suda, K. Chida, T. Iwashita, Direct isolation of myofibroblasts and fibroblasts from bleomycin-injured lungs reveals their functional similarities and differences, Fibrogenesis Tissue Repair 6 (2013) 15, <https://doi.org/10.1186/1755-1536-6-15>.
- [30] N. Fazal, T cell suppression in burn and septic injuries, Immunosuppression - Role Health Dis. (2012), <https://doi.org/10.5772/26523>.
- [31] A.M. Poff, D. Kernagis, D.P. D'Agostino, Hyperbaric environment: oxygen and cellular damage versus protection, Compr. Physiol. 7 (2017) 213–234, <https://doi.org/10.1002/cphy.c150032>.
- [32] J. Bogdan, J. Zarzyńska, J. Pławińska-Czarnak, J.M. Zarzyńska, J. Bogdan, J. Zarzyńska, J. Pławińska-Czarnak, G. Lam, R. Fontaine, F. Ross, E. Chiu, N. Fazal, Comparison of infectious agents susceptibility to photocatalytic effects of nanosized titanium and zinc oxides: a practical approach, Nanoscale Res. Lett. 10 (2015) 309, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s11671-015-1023-z>.
- [33] M.Y. Memar, M. Yekani, N. Alizadeh, H.B. Baghi, Hyperbaric oxygen therapy: antimicrobial mechanisms and clinical application for infections, Biomed. Pharmacother. 109 (2019) 440–447, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2018.10.142>.